National preparations for the Amended CPPNM Review

PREPARING TO REVIEW THE CPPNM AMENDMENT

NTI
BUILDING A SAFER WORLD
Preparing for the review: National Stakeholder Engagement
Who Contributes to National Preparations?

Anyone supporting implementation

• Lawmakers
• Regulators
• Facility operators (both large and small)
• Protective forces
• Border patrol and enforcement
• Transportation companies
• Forensic capabilities
• Points of Contact
• Competent authority
• Ministry of Foreign Affairs
• Ministry of Justice
• Ministry of Interior
• Lawyers
• Civil Society
Who Goes on the Delegation?

• Right mix of level and expertise in will be important
• Important to include high level official(s)
  • empowered to make decisions on behalf of country in negotiations
• Choice of head of delegation will set tone
• Include
  • Technical/regulatory experts
  • Legal experts
  • national security / Ministry of Interior
What is Included in National Statements?

- Nuclear security in context
- Reaffirm political commitment to nuclear security
- Key content:
  - Adequacy
  - Prevailing situation
  - Universalization
  - Implementation accomplishments
  - Future reviews
- Short
- Template for national statement is in Annex to co-chair report of the Legal and Technical Working Group, also available on NUSEC
Regional approaches

• Collective statements about common concerns
• Promote regional determinations on adequacy, future reviews
• Share regional challenges and lessons learned during technical sessions
Open Discussion

• Based on this discussion, who from your country could be part of your national preparations for the review?
• Who might lead your delegation?
• What are priorities for your country to include in national statements?
• What are areas of cooperation or common concerns that this region could highlight in national statements? In technical sessions?
Practical Goals of the Review Process
Steps to Build a Strong, Effective, and Sustainable A/CPPNM Regime

• **Strong**: Achieve universalization (37 ratifications needed) to close gaps in physical protection, criminal laws, and ability for countries to cooperate

• **Effective**: Fully implement the treaty and evolve implementation as needed to adapt to changes in the environment

• **Sustainable**: Regularly review the treaty’s implementation and adequacy and use the review as a vehicle for regular nuclear security dialogue
Strong: Universal

• In preparations for the review, are there ways to engage your neighbors and encourage them to ratify?

• Are there lessons from your national ratification that you can share at the review?
Effective: Implement

- What challenges has your country faced in implementation?
- What are good practices to share in:
  - Physical protection
  - Transport of materials
  - Criminalization?
- How has regional cooperation supported your implementation?
- How have IGOs supported your implementation?
- How have NGOs supported your implementation?
**Sustainable:**

**Regular Reviews**

- What are the **advantages** of a regular review?
- What are **challenges** to a regular review? How can they be addressed?
- How **frequently** should a review occur (no less than 5 years apart)?
- Should the **next review** be requested in the outcome document?