


National preparations for the Amended CPPNM Review

PREPARING TO REVIEW THE CPPNM AMENDMENT





**Preparing for the review:
National Stakeholder Engagement**

Who Contributes to National Preparations?

**Anyone
supporting
implementation**

- Lawmakers
- Regulators
- Facility operators (both large and small)
- Protective forces
- Border patrol and enforcement
- Transportation companies
- Forensic capabilities
- Points of Contact
- Competent authority
- Ministry of Foreign Affairs
- Ministry of Justice
- Ministry of Interior
- Lawyers
- Civil Society



Who Goes on the Delegation?

- Right mix of level and expertise in will be important
- Important to include high level official(s)
 - empowered to make decisions on behalf of country in negotiations
- Choice of head of delegation will set tone
- Include
 - Technical/regulatory experts
 - Legal experts
 - national security / Ministry of Interior



What is Included in National Statements?

- Nuclear security in context
- Reaffirm political commitment to nuclear security
- Key content:
 - Adequacy
 - Prevailing situation
 - Universalization
 - Implementation accomplishments
 - Future reviews
- Short
- Template for national statement is in Annex to co-chair report of the Legal and Technical Working Group, also available on NUSEC



Regional approaches

- Collective statements about common concerns
- Promote regional determinations on adequacy, future reviews
- Share regional challenges and lessons learned during technical sessions



Open Discussion

- Based on this discussion, who from your country could be part of your national preparations for the review?
- Who might lead your delegation?
- What are priorities for your country to include in national statements
- What are areas of cooperation or common concerns that this region could highlight in national statements? In technical sessions?





Practical Goals of the Review Process

Steps to Build a Strong, Effective, and Sustainable A/CPPNM Regime

- **Strong:** Achieve universalization (37 ratifications needed) to close gaps in physical protection, criminal laws, and ability for countries to cooperate
- **Effective:** Fully implement the treaty and evolve implementation as needed to adapt to changes in the environment
- **Sustainable:** Regularly review the treaty's implementation and adequacy and use the review as a vehicle for regular nuclear security dialogue



Strong: Universal

- In preparations for the review, are there ways to **engage your neighbors** and encourage them to ratify?
- Are there **lessons** from your national ratification that you can share at the review?



Effective: Implement

- What **challenges** has your country faced in implementation?
- What are **good practices** to share in :
 - Physical protection
 - Transport of materials
 - Criminalization?
- How as **regional cooperation** supported your implementation?
- How have **IGOs** supported your implementation?
- How have **NGOs** supported your implementation?



Sustainable: Regular Reviews

- What are the **advantages** of a regular review?
- What are **challenges** to a regular review? How can they be addressed?
- How **frequently** should a review occur (no less than 5 years apart)?
- Should the **next review** be requested in the outcome document?

